App F IX 4

Previous References

1929 (Municipal

File No 2 - 29

Serial Nos. 394 5

Later References

nil 24-38 Sls 133, 134

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 2

Part B for January - 1930

SUBJECT

Proposals for revision of the Gardens Establishment of the Bangalore city Municipality at an extra cost of Rs 744/ annually

Sl no 52 To The Municipal Commissioner through the president-Bangalore city Municipal Council

The Comptroller to Government

G O no L 5028-9 dated 7th Jan. 1

Sanctions the Resolution of the Municipal

D 29;

F III—

Mil File No 2 of 1929

Serial No	
39	From The President, Bangalore Cy - Mil Council No G Fo 88/29-30 dated 26/29 & NOV 1929 Submits for sanction proposals for revision of the Garden Establishment of the Bangalore Cy Municipality at an extra cost of Rs 744/- annually 1) The present Establishment consists of — 1 Horticultural Inspector 30 +10 C.A. — 57/3 - 45 +10 C.A. p.m. 1 Head Mali 18 p.m. 5 Gardeners 12 each p.m. 1 Sweeper 10 2) The proposed Establishments. Conversion — 1 Horticultural Inspector 50 +10 C.A. — 57/2 - 75 +10 C.A. p.m. 1 Head Mali 20 p.m. 5 Gardeners 14 each p.m. 1 Sweeper 12 p.m. 3 Gardeners 11 each p.m. 3) The results of the revision will be an additional cost (recurring) of Rs 62 ~~000~~ per mensem or Rs 744/- per annum - An addl

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 666—GPB—80 008—26 7 29

D 2971 (2

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No | of 192 9

Serial No

7- sum of Rs 600 has been provided under Gardens Etc in the Current Year's Budget of the Municipality If the present proposal is saved, there will be an additional recurring expenditure of Rs 744/- per annum

4) - The present financial position of the Bangalore City Municipality is as follows —

	Rs
Opening Balance on 1 7 29.	1,58,802
Receipts	
Special Rs 280,195	
Normal 869337	1149532
Total	13,08,334
Expenditure	
Special 278 180	
Normal 813 382	1191532
Closing Balance	1,16,802

The small reserve at the end of 1929-30 is expected to be Rs 80,811

5) The rule of thumb to keep large funds on account of New Water Supply

6) The revision of the Bangalore City

n Sheet

WD 866—GPB—30 008—26 7-22

D 2971 (3

General and Revenue Secretariat | App F III—2] | File No 2 | of 1929-30

Serial No

HS

market has been sanctioned recently at a recurring addl. expenditure of Rs 1,8,36 per annum

7) The revision of the pecial Estt of the different Branches of the municipal Office has been proposed by the mc-cl & is under Consideration of Government

8) The proposal of the mc-cl to incur an expenditure of Rs 30000/- to be re-appropriated out of sanctioned grants during the current year for Construction of the new municipal Office Building has been ordered to be over, pending settlement of the Question of Contribution by the mc-cl towards the new Scheme of Water Supply

9) It is also under Contemplation to raise a loan of Four lakhs for the Construction of Primary School Buildings in Bangalore

10) In View of the above Circumstances, it is a point for orders whether the present proposal to revise the Gardens Estt may be sanctioned Subd

5/12/29.

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 865—GPB—30 000—26 7 29

D 2971

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 2 of 1929

Serial No

11) The extra charge mentioned is Rs 77 a month and not Rs 62 as stated in the proposals in Statement Vide calculations on sheet flag B

12) This is stated to be required for increasing the pay of the existing officials and increasing the number of hands
Sanction for warrants

13) Checked 6/12/29 28/7/12

14) The proposal may be sanctioned with the observation that in future increase of expenditure of a recurring nature should be avoided as far as possible

15) Secret Member

16) Devan

17) CSY 9/12

18) 22-12-29

19) 7/12

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 866—GPB—30 000—26 7 29

DEWAN'S OFFICE 21 DEC 29 No 2790

SECRETARY'S OFFICE 9 DEC 1929

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO GOVT OF MADRAS 16 DEC 1929 No. 4826

Secretariat | File No 2 of 1929

Serial No	
	20) Draft Govt Sub 2/12/29
5-2	To The Municipal Commissioner, thro' the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council. Copy of letter to Government No L5028-9 dt 7.1.30 Sanctions the revision of the Sanctions Establishment of the Bangalore City Municipality

GEN SECTT
-9 JAN 1930
L S B BRANCH

Contn Sheet

WD 066—GPB—80 000—26 7-29

No. G To 88/29 3
Enc one

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE
Bangalore City Dated 26th Nov 1929
29th

GEN & REV SECTT
2971
30 NOV 1929

FROM

C MACHIA E Q B A
PRESIDENT
CITY MUNICIPALITY
BANGALORE CITY

L S Q
29/11
29/11
nor T V
27/11

To

B Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq , B A , B L ,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e

S i r,

I have the honor to state that at the time of passing the budget estimates of the Bangalore City Municipality, it was fully considered by the Council that the pay of Garden establishment was inadequate as compared with the staff on similar work in Government Departments and therefore a provision of Rs 600/- has been made in the current year's budget under E 5, Gardens for the purpose

2 A proposal for increasing the pay of the existing officials and increasing the number of hands also was made and placed before the Municipal Council meeting held on 9th November 1929, who have approved of it

not need

3 A copy of the resolution of the Council together with a proposition statement in duplicate is herewith submitted for favour of sanction of Government From the proposition it will be seen that the total increase in expenditure per month is Rs 62/- or Rs 744/- annually

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C Machia
P r e s i d e n t

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,BANGALORE HELD ON SATURDAY THE 9TH NOVEMBER1929 AT 12 NOON IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER

Present.

C MACHIA,Esqr, B A ,(President)

COUNCILLORS

1 Mr S K Venkatarangiengar,B A ,B L ,
2. " W H Hanumantappa,
3 " P Subbarama Chetty,
4 " Ramlal Tiwari,
5 " B K Garudachar,
6 " S N M Razvi,
7 " H Bhadrappa,
8 " M C Chicknanjundappa,
9. " M Munisami,
10. Mr A,Madhaviengar,B A ,
11 Mr Balakrishnaiya,B A ,LL B ,
12 Mr V Vajapey,
13 Mr Khan Bahadur Mohamed Abbas Khan
14 Dr M Royan,
15 Capt Y V Ayya,
16 Mr Syed Abdul Khader,
17 Mr S Narayana Rao,
18 Mr D Venkataramanappa

ABSENT.

1 Mr Belur Srinivasiengar,B A ,B L ,
2 Mr C K HUtchappa,
3 Mr G Siddaveerappa,
4. Mr K Srikantayya,
5 Mr D Gopalakrisnna Rao,
6 Mr C N Narasinga Rao,B A ,B L ,
7 Rao Bahadur Mr C B Rama Rao,
8 Mr S Venkatarangiengar,
9 Mr J R Isaac,
10 Mr D S Govinda Rao,
11 Mr B Kempanna,
12 Mr Chickmunisawmisa

4,6,7,8,10 and 11 have sent letters of apology for absenting from the meeting

---

52 To consider Memo from the Municipal Engineer regarding the proposal for the revision of the establishment for the Horticultural Department

Resolution --- Approved with the modifications made by the President in the list submitted by the Municipal Engineer as shown below --

1	Horticultural Inspector	50-5/2-75 plus 10	
1	Head Mali	20/-	
(Five) 5	Gardeners	14/-	each
1	Sweeper	12/-	
(Three) 3	Gardeners	11/-	each

Sd C Machia,
President

Copy"

For President

SM

Finance and Accounts Form 11 }

(*Vide* Art 57 C A C Vol. I and Rule 23 Part I Chapter II

Finl 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITI

Name of Office to which the Propositi

Government or ders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
No	Date	No	Designation	Pay			Average Cost	No	Designation	Pay		
				Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum				Minimum	Rate of Incr as	Ma mu
		— Gardens Establishment —										
1		1	Horticultural Inspector	30 +10CA	5/3	45 +10CA	50	1	Horticultural Inspr	50 +10CA	5/2	75 +10C
2		1	Head Mali	18		18	18	1	Head Mali	20		20
3		5	Gardeners	12		12	60	5	Gardeners	16		16
4		1	Sweeper	10	-	10	10	1	Sweeper	12		12
								3	Gardeners	11		11
Total		8					138	11				

Note – To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in My or an

ial Rules circulated with G O No Fl 1150—1192 dated 7

REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

WD 1168—GPB—5 003 12 1 96

Proposition						Orders of Government		
Permanent		Temporary				Financial Department		
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month		Grounds Proprietics	Remarks	Orders
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount			
15								
2								
10								
2								
33								
62								

28/11/29 — City Engineer

Per post

Re

wed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involture

{ ance and counts Form 11 }

Finl 200]

(*Vide* Art 57 C A C Vol. I and Rule 23 Part I (

STATEMEN T OF PROI

Name of Office to which the P

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale						Proposed Scale				
				Pay							Pa	
No	Date	No	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Average Cost	No	Designation	Minimum	R In	
			Garden Establishment									
1	1	Horticultural Inspector	30+10 CA	5/3	45+10 CA	50	1	Horticultural Inspator	50+10 CA	5/2		
2	1	Head Mali	18		18	18	1	Head Mali	20			
3	5	Gardeners	12		12	60	5	Gardeners	16			
4	1	Sweeper	10		10	10	1	Sweeper	12			
							3	Gardens	11			
Mal	8					138	11					

Note – To be prepared in duplic te and forwarded through the Comptroll r in M

(Financial Rules circulated with G O No Fl 1150—119? dated 7)3)

OR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT

tes

WD 1168—GPB —5 000

Average Cost	Proposition — Permanent — Increase per month: Amount	Proposition — Permanent — Decrease per month: Amount	Proposition — Temporary — Increase per month: Period	Proposition — Temporary — Increase per month: Amount	Proposition — Temporary — Decrease per month: Period	Proposition — Temporary — Decrease per month: Amount	Grounds Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Orders of Government — Department concerned: Remarks	Orders of Government — Financial Department: Remarks	Orders of Government — Financial Department: Orders
65	35										
20	2										
40	40										
12	2										
33	33										
200	92										

A Narasaraja
for President
City Municipal Council
Bangalore
28/11/29

...d should be followed by a reappropriation statement if the proposal involves a ... al expenditure

(10

[VI G 34]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to 2971

General and Revenue Secretariat

192

Date of issue 7/1/30

Signed by

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Section Mel
File No 2-29
Serial No 52

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— Letter No G To 88/1930 dated the 26th/29th Nov 1929 from the President Bangalore City- Mel CC' soliciting sanction to the resolution of the Mc CC 8 9 11 29 recommending the Reinstatement of the Esto. of the Gardens Depart. attached to the Bangalore City Municipality on the extra cost during the current year being met from the provision made thereof under 'E S' Maintenance of Public Gardens & buildings

Order Thereon No

PTO

in the budget of the
Bangalore City Municipality for the year 1929-30

Order No 1502 H-9
dt 7/1/30

The resolution of the Municipal Council is hereby confirmed, but the observation that, in future, increase of expenditure of a recurring nature should be avoided as far as possible

2 The scale as existing as now revised is shown in the statement appended to this order

27/1

Chief Secy to Govt

Copied by

Examined by

24/12/29

To

The Municipal Commissioner
The President,
Bangalore City Municipality

List of enclosures

WD 1888—GPB—1 000 00—21 1

Statement showing the existing & sanctioned scale of pay of the Establishment of the Bangalore City Municipality

Present Scale			Sanctioned Scale		
No	Designation	Pay per month	No	Designation	Pay per month
1	Horticultural Inspector	Rs 30 - 5/3 - 45 +10 CA +10 CA	1	Horticultural Inspector	Rs 50 - 5/2 - 75 +10 CA +10 CA
1	Head Mali	18	1	Head Mali	20
5	Gardeners	12 each	5	Gardeners	14 each
			3	Gardeners	11 each
1	Sweeper	10	1	Sweeper	12.

Bangalore
24.12.29

(Sd) [illegible]

27/12

for Chief Secy & Comptroller

G R S III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

Horticultural Inspector

(Non-ministerial)

Present Cost

$30 - \frac{5}{3} - 45$

$+ 10\ CA$

$+30 + 30 + 35 + 35 + 35 + 40$
$+40 + 40 + \rightarrow$

90
105
120
315

$\frac{315}{9} = 35$

Proposed

$50 - \frac{5}{2} - 75$

$+ 10\ CA$

$50 + \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{6}{90}\right) 25$

$= 50 + \frac{54}{90} \times 25$

$= 65$

The difference in cost between the present and the proposed cost will be 30 and not 15 as entered in the proposition statt. The net additional cost will be 77 and not 62 as estimated in the Pud

PD
5/12

Should not be written on small leaves of note books or slips pasted on the form

W D 1480 (PB—150 000 25 4